PREX Magnetic Modeling

work done by Jay Benesch

ERR Q1 status



- The field we estimated had a large effect on radiation levels inside the hall (making PREX2 reach PREX1 levels)
- There were 2 problems with the setup:
 - The magnitude of the fringe was a factor of 2 too large
 - The sign of the field was wrong

$1 MeV n_{eq} / cm^2$

HRS power supply	PREX-I	PREX-II	CREX	PREX-II +Q1 fringe	CREX +Q1 fringe	PREX-II Q1/noQ1	CREX Q1/noQ1
total	2.3E+11	2.1E+10	3.6E+10	3.3E+11	4.2E+11	16	11.7





Fringe fields



- Jay implemented a realistic septum beam pipe and now we have the whole fringe field around the beam pipe through both Septum and Q1
- The effect of opposite sign quad fields is not as simple as convergent-divergent pair of lenses (but it's a good first approximation)

Fringe fields



- If we look at the different detectors we have in the radiation simulation through the hall we can see that:
 - For CREX the Q1 fringe might help
 - For PREX the Q1 fringe does not seem to have any effect (or a slightly increased \bullet radiation field) 4

Beam transport - CREX



- Jay produced trajectories in TOSCA in a grid (1x1cm) at the target and propagated them through the fields all the way to the dump tunnel
 - the x "beam spot" decreases with the Q1 fringe field from 1.9 -> 1.2

Beam transport - CREX



- Jay produced trajectories in TOSCA in a grid (1x1cm) at the target and propagated them through the fields all the way to the dump tunnel
 - the y "beam spot" increases with the Q1 fringe field from 0.2 -> 0.8

Beam transport - PREX



- Jay produced trajectories in TOSCA in a grid (1x1cm) at the target and propagated them through the fields all the way to the dump tunnel
 - the y "beam spot" increases with the Q1 fringe field from 0.8 -> 1.4

Beam transport - PREX



- Jay produced trajectories in TOSCA in a grid (1x1cm) at the target and propagated them through the fields all the way to the dump tunnel
 - the x "beam spot" decreases with the Q1 fringe field from 1.2 -> 0.6

Beam transport

	PREX wi	PREX wo	CREX wi	CREX wo
У	1.4	0.8	0.8	0.2
Х	0.6	1.2	1.2	1.9

 This is just a rough analysis but it does not see that leaving the Q1 fringe field in will be a huge disruption for beam transport to the dump

Conclusions

- In terms or radiation the Q1 fringe field might actually be useful (in particular for CREX)
- Seems beam transport will be ok with Q1 fringe
- We still need to evaluate the beam transport with only one Q1 turned on (Jay is working on it)

Backup

Septum beam pipe



- Jay started working with the model Juliette and Iris provided
- The beam pipe through the septum in the configuration that ran for PREX1 (and is available now) has:
 - The shielding (# 5) is in actuality longer 43.5"
 - The shielding box is made out of carbon steel and the openings at the top and bottom are filled in with weld
 - The pipe itself is made out of stainless steel

B fringe field from current setup

dBx/dy for crexJayNoQ1shield configuration at(-1cm,0,z) dBx/dy for prex2 configuration at(-1cm,0,z) gauss/cn gauss/cm RFX 25 50 PREX **Black: Juliette** 15 Red: with current beam pipe 10 -505 -100-10 **Black: Juliette** -15 -150Red: with current beam pipe -100 100 200 -2000 -200-1000 100 200 z [cm] z [cm]

Note that Juliette never got a fringe field for the Q1s

- The fringe field in the CREX case is probably unmanageable (radiation wise) if we keep the current setup
- Juliette must have already replaced the stainless steel pipe in her simulation with carbon-steel
- Jay has models running now with updated configuration



Changes needed to the beam pipe

- Minimal changes:
 - the beampipe 3"OD 0.124" thickness should be **carbon-steel** Z[-53.6, 51.6]. The rest should be left out because that is where we will have stainless steel bellows.
 - the rectangular carbon-steel box around the beampipe should extends Z[-59, 51.6] already in place
 - the US carbon-steel plates with 0.25" thickness should extend between Z[-67.8, -53.6] have to be designed and manufactured
 - the DS carbon-steel plates with 0.25" thickness should extend between Z[51.6, 71.6]— have to be designed and manufactured
- Alternative solution:
 - Take two carbon steel rectangular cross section beams (of the correct size) and drill a semi-circular cavity
 - Weld the two pieces together and connect to bellows at either end

Dipole field along signal particle path



 Jay's calculations show that there is a significant dipole field along the path of the particles being scattered into the HRS

- These are calculations made by Jay and provided to us in a spreadsheet of multipoles (the large
- These are with the updated septum beam pipe (the field around 50 is due to the fringe of the septum interacting with the iron in the Q1)s